

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/923,332

Applicant(s)

DEBRAAL ET AL.

Examiner

Christopher P Bruenjes

Art Unit

1772

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Matt Shanley (applicant's representative).

(3) Harold Pyon (PTO).

(2) Christopher P Bruenjes (PTO).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: comparison of insulated cup of reference to insulated cup of applicant's invention.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-10 and 17-22.

Identification of prior art discussed: all of record.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant brought in a proposed amendment and suggestions were made to claim the foam layer or thin polyethylene layer the innermost layer and the other layer contiguously or directly connected to the outside of the innermost layer. Claiming the sleeve needs structural limitations to distinguish the sleeve from the beverage container. Attached proposed amendment

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

MARKED-UP VERSION OF AMENDMENTS

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

The paragraph [0028] has been amended as follows:

[0028] Figures 2 through Figure 5 are cross-sectional views of an insulated beverage container wall [11] 1 according to various embodiments of the present invention. One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that an insulated container 10 as shown in Figure 1 will readily incorporate each of the beverage container walls depicted in Figures 2 through Figure 5. While the following description is directed toward a cup, the techniques of this invention can be applied to any number of containers or surfaces, for instance a beverage container insulating beverage sleeve or stock material can be constructed from any of the embodiments shown in the accompanying drawings.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claims 23-25 have been added.

Claims 11-16 have been cancelled.

The claims have been amended as follows:

1. (Amended) An insulated beverage container stock material comprising:

a paper stock layer having an interior surface and an exterior surface;

[and]

a foam layer being disposed along the interior surface of the paper stock layer; and

a polyethylene film layer being disposed along the interior surface of the paper stock layer.

2. (Amended) The insulated beverage container stock material according to claim 1, [further comprising a] wherein said polyethylene film layer is sandwiched between said paper stock layer and said [polyethylene] foam layer.

5. (Amended) The insulated beverage container stock material according to claim 1, [further comprising a polyethylene film layer,] wherein said polyethylene film layer [sandwiching] sandwiches said foam layer between said paper stock layer and said polyethylene film layer.

8. (Amended) An insulated beverage container comprising:
a container wall having a side portion enclosing a beverage containing space, an interior surface and an exterior surface; and

a bottom portion engaging said container wall along [a lower] said side portion; wherein said container wall further includes

a paper stock layer arranged along [an] the exterior surface of said container wall;

a thin polyethylene film layer being arranged between said paper stock layer and said beverage containing space; and

a foam layer arranged along [an] said interior surface of the container wall, said foam layer being sandwiched between said polyethylene film layer and said paper stock layer.

10. (Amended) The insulated beverage container [stock] according to claim 8, further comprising an insulating coating sandwiched between said foam layer and said paper stock layer.

17. (Amended) An insulated beverage container sleeve comprising:
an interior surface and an exterior surface;
a paper stock layer being formed along the exterior surface;
a foam layer being disposed along the interior surface of [the paper stock layer] said sleeve; and
a polyethylene film layer being disposed along the interior surface of said sleeve.

18. (Amended) The insulated beverage container sleeve according to claim 17, [further comprising a] wherein said polyethylene film layer is sandwiched between said paper stock layer and said [polyethylene] foam layer.

22. (Amended) The insulated beverage container sleeve according to claim 21, further comprising:

an intermediate layer sandwiched between said foam layer and said paper stock layer; and

a printing layer, said printing layer forming [a first] an outermost exterior surface of said beverage container sleeve [and said foam layer forming an interior surface of said beverage container sleeve], wherein said printing layer is made from a material having high quality graphics printability or having a textured surface.

27. An insulated beverage container comprising:

a container wall having a side portion enclosing a beverage containing space, an interior surface immediately surrounding said beverage containing space and an exterior surface; and

a bottom portion engaging said container wall along said side portion; wherein said container wall further includes

a paper stock layer arranged along the exterior surface of said container wall;

a thin polyethylene film layer being arranged between said paper stock layer and said beverage containing space; and

a foam layer arranged along said interior surface of the container wall, said polyethylene film layer being sandwiched between said foam layer and said paper stock layer.--